



INTEGRAL FORMULAS FOR A METRIC-AFFINE MANIFOLD WITH TWO COMPLEMENTARY ORTHOGONAL DISTRIBUTIONS

VLADIMIR ROVENSKI

ABSTRACT. We obtain integral formulas for a metric-affine space equipped with two complementary orthogonal distributions. The integrand depends on the Ricci and mixed scalar curvatures and invariants of the second fundamental forms and integrability tensors of the distributions. The formulas under some conditions yield splitting of manifolds (including submersions and twisted products) and provide geometrical obstructions for existence of distributions and foliations (or compact leaves of them).

Keywords: metric; connection; distribution; submersion; twisted product; mixed scalar curvature; mean curvature; umbilical; harmonic; statistical; divergence; splitting.

MSC (2010) Primary 53C12; Secondary 53C44.

INTRODUCTION

Integral formulas provide obstructions for existence of distributions and foliations (or compact leaves of them) with given geometric properties and have applications in different areas of geometry and analysis, see survey in [1, 13]. Distributions on manifolds appear in various situations, e.g. as fields of tangent planes of foliations or kernels of differential forms [3]. The first known integral formula by G. Reeb [11] for a codimension-1 foliated closed Riemannian manifold (M, g) tells us that the total mean curvature H of the leaves is zero (thus, either $H \equiv 0$ or $H(x)H(y) < 0$ for some points $x, y \in M$). The proof is based on the divergence theorem and the identity $\operatorname{div} N = (\dim M) h_{sc}$, where N is a unit normal to the leaves and h_{sc} their scalar second fundamental form. The formula poses a generalization (which is a consequence of Green's theorem applied to N) to the case of second order mean curvature σ_2 :

$$\int_M (2\sigma_2 - \operatorname{Ric}_{N,N}) \, d\operatorname{vol}_g = 0. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, (1) admits a leaf-wise counterpart for a closed leaf M' with induced metric g' . Both formulas have many applications: e.g., (1) implies nonexistence of umbilical foliations on a closed manifold of negative curvature. Later on [2] extended (1) to infinite series of formulas with higher order mean curvatures σ_k ($k \geq 2$). In further generalizations for complementary orthogonal distributions of any dimension [9, 12, 16], the integrand depends on second fundamental

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C12; 53C44.

Key words and phrases. metric; connection; distribution; submersion; twisted product; mixed scalar curvature; mean curvature; umbilical; harmonic; statistical; divergence; splitting.

forms and integrability tensors of the distributions, their derivatives and curvature invariants, e.g. the Ricci curvature and the mixed scalar curvature.

No attempt has been made to develop integral formulas for general metric-affine spaces equipped with distributions. The Metric-Affine Geometry founded by E. Cartan uses an asymmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ with torsion (instead of the Levi-Civita connection ∇); it generalizes Riemannian Geometry and appears in such context as homogeneous and almost Hermitian manifolds. The important distinguished cases are: Riemann-Cartan manifolds, where metric connections, i.e., $\bar{\nabla}g = 0$, are used, see e.g. [7], and statistical manifolds, see [4, 6], where the torsion is zero and the tensor $\bar{\nabla}g$ is symmetric in all its entries. The theory of affine hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is a natural source of statistical manifolds, see [8]. Riemann-Cartan spaces are central in gauge theory of gravity, where the torsion is represented by the spin tensor of matter.

In this paper, we obtain integral formulas for general metric-affine spaces equipped with distributions and for two distinguished classes. The formulas naturally generalize results for Riemannian case [9, 14–16] with the Ricci and mixed scalar curvatures, under some conditions they yield splitting of ambient manifolds (including submersions and twisted products) and provide geometrical obstructions for existence of distributions and foliations or compact leaves of them.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let M^{n+p} be a connected smooth manifold with a pseudo-Riemannian metric g of index q and complementary orthogonal non-degenerate distributions \mathcal{D}^\top and \mathcal{D}^\perp (subbundles of the tangent bundle TM of ranks $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_x^\top = n$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_x^\perp = p$ for every $x \in M$). A distribution \mathcal{D}^\top is non-degenerate, if g_x ($x \in M$) is a non-degenerate bilinear form on $\mathcal{D}_x^\top \subset T_x M$ for every $x \in M$; in this case, \mathcal{D}^\perp is also non-degenerate. When $q = 0$, g is a Riemannian metric (resp. a Lorentz metric when $q = 1$), see [3]. Let $^\top$ and $^\perp$ denote g -orthogonal projections onto \mathcal{D}^\top and \mathcal{D}^\perp , respectively; for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}_M$ we write $X = X^\top + X^\perp$. We will define several tensors for one of distributions (say, \mathcal{D}^\top ; similar tensors for the second distribution can be defined using $^\perp$ notation). The following convention is adopted for the range of indices:

$$a, b \dots \in \{1 \dots n\}, \quad i, j \dots \in \{1 \dots p\}.$$

One may show that the local adapted orthonormal frame $\{E_a, \mathcal{E}_i\}$, where $\{E_a\} \subset \mathcal{D}^\top$, and $\epsilon_i = g(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_i) \in \{-1, 1\}$, $\epsilon_a = g(E_a, E_a) \in \{-1, 1\}$, always exists on M .

Let $T^\top, h^\top : \mathcal{D}^\top \times \mathcal{D}^\top \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\perp$ be the integrability tensor and the second fundamental form of \mathcal{D}^\top ,

$$T^\top(X, Y) := (1/2) [X, Y]^\perp, \quad h^\top(X, Y) := (1/2) (\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X)^\perp.$$

The mean curvature vector of \mathcal{D}^\top is $H^\top = \sum_a \epsilon_a h^\top(E_a, E_a)$. We call \mathcal{D}^\top *umbilical*, *harmonic*, or *totally geodesic*, if $h^\top = \frac{1}{n} H^\top g^\top$, $H^\top = 0$, or $h^\top = 0$, resp. The Weingarten operator A^\top (of \mathcal{D}^\top) and the operator $T^{\top\sharp}$ are defined by

$$g(A_Z^\top X, Y) = g(h^\top(X^\top, Y^\top), Z^\perp), \quad g(T_Z^{\top\sharp} X, Y) = g(T^\top(X^\top, Y^\top), Z^\perp).$$

We use the following convention for various tensors: $T_i^{\top\sharp} := T_{\mathcal{E}_i}^{\top\sharp}$, $A_i^\top := A_{\mathcal{E}_i}^\top$, $\mathcal{T}_i = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}_i}$ etc.

One of the simplest curvature invariants of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) endowed with two complementary orthogonal distributions $(\mathcal{D}^\top, \mathcal{D}^\perp)$ is the *mixed scalar curvature*, i.e.,

an averaged sectional curvature of planes that non-trivially intersect the distribution \mathcal{D}^\top and its orthogonal complement \mathcal{D}^\perp , see [16]:

$$S_{\text{mix}} = \sum_{a,i} \epsilon_a \epsilon_i g(R_{a,i} E_a, \mathcal{E}_i). \quad (2)$$

Here $R_{X,Y} = [\nabla_Y, \nabla_X] + \nabla_{[X,Y]}$ is the curvature tensor of ∇ . The following formula [16] has found many applications:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(H^\perp + H^\top) &= S_{\text{mix}} + \langle h^\perp, h^\perp \rangle - g(H^\perp, H^\perp) - \langle T^\perp, T^\perp \rangle \\ &+ \langle h^\top, h^\top \rangle - g(H^\top, H^\top) - \langle T^\top, T^\top \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

see survey in [1, 13]. We use inner products of tensors, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h^\top, h^\top \rangle &= \sum_{i,j} \epsilon_i \epsilon_j g(h^\top(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j), h^\top(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j)), \\ \langle T^\top, T^\top \rangle &= \sum_{i,j} \epsilon_i \epsilon_j g(T^\top(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j), T^\top(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j)). \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathfrak{X}_M (resp., $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{D}^\top}$) be the module over $C^\infty(M)$ of all vector fields on M (resp. on \mathcal{D}^\top). A *metric-affine space* is a manifold M endowed with a metric g of certain signature and a linear connection $\bar{\nabla} : \mathfrak{X}_M \times \mathfrak{X}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_M$ on TM that is

$$\bar{\nabla}_{fX_1+X_2} Y = f\bar{\nabla}_{X_1} Y + \bar{\nabla}_{X_2} Y, \quad \bar{\nabla}_X(fY + Z) = X(f)Y + f\bar{\nabla}_X Y + \bar{\nabla}_X Z.$$

The Levi-Civita connection ∇ is a unique torsion free connection on (M, g) preserving g . It can be taken as a center of affine space of all connections on M . The difference $\mathcal{T} := \bar{\nabla} - \nabla$ is called the *contorsion tensor*. Define the (1,2)-tensors \mathcal{T}^* and $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ by

$$g(\mathcal{T}_X^* Y, Z) = g(\mathcal{T}_X Z, Y), \quad \hat{\mathcal{T}}_X Y = \mathcal{T}_Y X, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}_M.$$

Remark that generally $(\hat{\mathcal{T}})^* \neq \hat{\mathcal{T}}^*$. Indeed,

$$g((\hat{\mathcal{T}})^*_X Y, Z) = g(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_X Z, Y) = g(\mathcal{T}_Z X, Y), \quad g(\hat{\mathcal{T}}^*_X Y, Z) = g(\mathcal{T}_Y^* X, Z) = g(\mathcal{T}_Y Z, X).$$

A connection $\bar{\nabla} = \nabla + \mathcal{T}$ is *metric compatible* if $\bar{\nabla} g = 0$; in this case,

$$\mathcal{T}^* = -\mathcal{T}.$$

If $\bar{\nabla}$ is torsionless and tensor $\bar{\nabla} g$ is symmetric in all its entries then $\bar{\nabla}$ is called a *statistical connection* in literature. In this case, the contorsion tensor has the following symmetries:

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T}, \quad \mathcal{T}^* = \mathcal{T}.$$

Comparing the curvature tensor $\bar{R}_{X,Y} = [\bar{\nabla}_Y, \bar{\nabla}_X] + \bar{\nabla}_{[X,Y]}$ of $\bar{\nabla}$ with R , we find

$$\bar{R}_{X,Y} - R_{X,Y} = (\nabla_Y \mathcal{T})_X - (\nabla_X \mathcal{T})_Y + [\mathcal{T}_Y, \mathcal{T}_X], \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M. \quad (4)$$

Define two *mean curvature type vectors* of \mathcal{T} by $H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top := \sum_a \epsilon_a \mathcal{T}_a E_a$ and $H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp := \sum_i \epsilon_i \mathcal{T}_i \mathcal{E}_i$.

Remark. One can examine the extrinsic geometry also in terms of $\bar{\nabla}$. For example,

$$\bar{h}^\top(X, Y) = h^\top(X, Y) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{T}_X Y + \mathcal{T}_Y X)^\perp, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^\top,$$

is the second fundamental form of \mathcal{D}^\top w.r.t. $\bar{\nabla}$, and $\bar{H}^\top = H^\top + (H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top)^\perp$ is its mean curvature vector.

Definition. The following function on a metric-affine manifold $(M, g, \bar{\nabla})$ endowed with two complementary orthogonal distributions $(\mathcal{D}^\top, \mathcal{D}^\perp)$:

$$\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,i} \epsilon_a \epsilon_i (g(\bar{R}_{a,i} E_a, \mathcal{E}_i) + g(\bar{R}_{i,a} \mathcal{E}_i, E_a)) \quad (5)$$

is called the *mixed scalar curvature* w.r.t. $\bar{\nabla}$, see (2) for the Riemannian case.

Definition (5) does not depend on the order of distributions and the choice of a frame. Thus, by (4) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,i} \epsilon_a \epsilon_i (g((\nabla_i \mathcal{T})_a E_a, \mathcal{E}_i) - g((\nabla_a \mathcal{T})_i E_a, \mathcal{E}_i) + g((\nabla_a \mathcal{T})_i \mathcal{E}_i, E_a) \\ &\quad - g((\nabla_i \mathcal{T})_a \mathcal{E}_i, E_a) + g([\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_a] E_a, \mathcal{E}_i) + g([\mathcal{T}_a, \mathcal{T}_i] \mathcal{E}_i, E_a)) + S_{\text{mix}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The Divergence Theorem for a vector field ξ on (M, g) states that

$$\int_M (\text{div } \xi) \, d \text{vol}_g = 0, \quad (7)$$

when either ξ has compact support or M is closed. The \mathcal{D}^\perp -divergence of ξ is defined by $\text{div}^\perp \xi = \sum_i \epsilon_i g(\nabla_i \xi, \mathcal{E}_i)$, and for $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{D}^\perp}$ we have $\text{div}^\perp \xi = \text{div } \xi + g(\xi, H^\top)$.

2. INTEGRAL FORMULAS

The main idea of proving integral formulas (as in the case of formulas discussed in the introduction) is to calculate the divergence of a vector field and use the Stokes Theorem. In [9], this approach was applied to vector fields $Z_k = (A_{H^\top}^\top)^k H^\perp + (A_{H^\perp}^\perp)^k H^\top$, on a Riemannian space, namely, for $k = 0, 1$. We add to $Z_0 = H^\perp + H^\top$ (in Section 2.1) and $Z_1 = A_{H^\top}^\top H^\perp + A_{H^\perp}^\perp H^\top$ (in Section 2.2) certain vector fields on a metric-affine space, compute their divergence and find integral formulas. We also work with a closed manifold M equipped with vector fields, distributions, etc. defined on the complement to the ‘‘set of singularities’’ Σ , which is a (possibly empty) union of closed submanifolds of variable codimension ≥ 2 . The singular case is important since many manifolds admit no smooth (e.g. codimension-one) distributions, while they admit such distributions and tensors outside some Σ . Example of such distributions provide ‘‘open book decompositions’’ on manifolds, see discussion in [9].

Lemma 1 (see Lemma 2 in [9]). *Let Σ_1 , $\text{codim } \Sigma_1 \geq 2$, be a closed submanifold of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) , and ξ a vector field on $M \setminus \Sigma_1$ such that $\|\xi\|_g \in L^2(M, g)$. Then (7) holds.*

2.1. Integral formulas with \bar{S}_{mix} . The divergence of Z_0 , see (3), was calculated in [16] and the integral formula

$$\int_M \{ S_{\text{mix}} - \|T^\top\|^2 - \|T^\perp\|^2 + \|h^\top\|^2 + \|h^\perp\|^2 - \|H^\top\|^2 - \|H^\perp\|^2 \} \, d \text{vol}_g = 0 \quad (8)$$

was obtained for a closed Riemannian manifold M . We will denote by $\langle B, C \rangle_V$ the inner product of tensors B, C restricted on $V = (\mathcal{D}^\top \times \mathcal{D}^\perp) \cup (\mathcal{D}^\perp \times \mathcal{D}^\top)$.

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \operatorname{div} \left((H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top} - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top})^{\perp} + (H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp} - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp})^{\top} \right) = 2(\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{mix}} - \mathcal{S}_{\text{mix}}) \\
 & - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp}) - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}) - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top} - H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp} + H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp} - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}, H^{\top} - H^{\perp}) \\
 & - \langle \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}^* + \widehat{\mathcal{T}} - \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^*, A^{\perp} - T^{\perp\sharp} + A^{\top} - T^{\top\sharp} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{T}^*, \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \rangle|_V. \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

For statistical manifolds, (9) reads

$$\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{mix}} - \mathcal{S}_{\text{mix}} - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}, H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}) + (1/2) \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle|_V = 0.$$

For Riemann-Cartan manifolds, (9) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \operatorname{div} \left((H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top})^{\perp} + (H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp})^{\top} \right) = \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{mix}} - \mathcal{S}_{\text{mix}} - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top} - H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}, H^{\top} - H^{\perp}) \\
 & + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}, H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}) - \langle \mathcal{T} + \widehat{\mathcal{T}}, A^{\perp} - T^{\perp\sharp} + A^{\top} - T^{\top\sharp} \rangle - (1/2) \langle \mathcal{T}, \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \rangle|_V.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (6) we get $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{mix}} - \mathcal{S}_{\text{mix}} = \frac{1}{2}(Q_1 + Q_2)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_1 &= \sum_{a,i} \epsilon_a \epsilon_i [g((\nabla_i \mathcal{T})_a E_a, \mathcal{E}_i) - g((\nabla_a \mathcal{T})_i E_a, \mathcal{E}_i) + g([\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_a] E_a, \mathcal{E}_i)], \\
 Q_2 &= \sum_{a,i} \epsilon_a \epsilon_i [g((\nabla_a \mathcal{T})_i \mathcal{E}_i, E_a) - g((\nabla_i \mathcal{T})_a \mathcal{E}_i, E_a) + g([\mathcal{T}_a, \mathcal{T}_i] \mathcal{E}_i, E_a)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $(\nabla_i E_a)^{\top} = 0$ and $(\nabla_a \mathcal{E}_i)^{\perp} = 0$ at a point $x \in M$, thus $-\nabla_i E_a = \sum_j \epsilon_j g((A_a^{\perp} + T_a^{\perp\sharp}) \mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j) \mathcal{E}_j$ and $-\nabla_a \mathcal{E}_i = \sum_b \epsilon_b g((A_i^{\top} + T_i^{\top\sharp}) E_a, E_b) E_b$. We calculate at x :

$$\begin{aligned}
 g((\nabla_i \mathcal{T})_a E_a, \mathcal{E}_i) &= g(\mathcal{T}_i E_a + \mathcal{T}_a \mathcal{E}_i, (A_a^{\perp} - T_a^{\perp\sharp}) \mathcal{E}_i) + \operatorname{div}^{\perp}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}), \\
 g((\nabla_a \mathcal{T})_i E_a, \mathcal{E}_i) &= g(\mathcal{T}_a^* \mathcal{E}_i + \mathcal{T}_i^* E_a, (A_i^{\top} - T_i^{\top\sharp}) E_a) + \operatorname{div}^{\top}(H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp}), \\
 g((\nabla_a \mathcal{T})_i \mathcal{E}_i, E_a) &= g(\mathcal{T}_a \mathcal{E}_i + \mathcal{T}_i E_a, (A_i^{\top} - T_i^{\top\sharp}) E_a) + \operatorname{div}^{\top}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}), \\
 g((\nabla_i \mathcal{T})_a \mathcal{E}_i, E_a) &= g(\mathcal{T}_i^* E_a + \mathcal{T}_a^* \mathcal{E}_i, (A_a^{\perp} - T_a^{\perp\sharp}) \mathcal{E}_i) + \operatorname{div}^{\perp}(H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}), \\
 g([\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_a] E_a, \mathcal{E}_i) &= g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp}) - g(\mathcal{T}_i E_a, \mathcal{T}_a^* \mathcal{E}_i), \\
 g([\mathcal{T}_a, \mathcal{T}_i] \mathcal{E}_i, E_a) &= g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}) - g(\mathcal{T}_i^* E_a, \mathcal{T}_a \mathcal{E}_i),
 \end{aligned}$$

(omitting $\sum_{a,i} \epsilon_a \epsilon_i$) and find

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_1 &= \operatorname{div}^{\perp}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}) - \operatorname{div}^{\top}(H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp}) + \sum_{a,i} \epsilon_a \epsilon_i [g(\mathcal{T}_i E_a + \mathcal{T}_a \mathcal{E}_i, (A_a^{\perp} - T_a^{\perp\sharp}) \mathcal{E}_i) \\
 & - g(\mathcal{T}_a^* \mathcal{E}_i + \mathcal{T}_i^* E_a, (A_i^{\top} - T_i^{\top\sharp}) E_a) - g(\mathcal{T}_i E_a, \mathcal{T}_a^* \mathcal{E}_i)] + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp}), \\
 Q_2 &= \operatorname{div}^{\top}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}) - \operatorname{div}^{\perp}(H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}) + \sum_{a,i} \epsilon_a \epsilon_i [g(\mathcal{T}_a \mathcal{E}_i + \mathcal{T}_i E_a, (A_i^{\top} - T_i^{\top\sharp}) E_a) \\
 & - g(\mathcal{T}_i^* E_a + \mathcal{T}_a^* \mathcal{E}_i, (A_a^{\perp} - T_a^{\perp\sharp}) \mathcal{E}_i) - g(\mathcal{T}_a \mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{T}_i^* E_a)] + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}). \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (10), using equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{div}^{\perp}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}) &= \operatorname{div}((H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top})^{\perp}) + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}, H^{\top} - H^{\perp}), \\
 \operatorname{div}^{\top}(H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp}) &= \operatorname{div}((H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp})^{\top}) - g(H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp}, H^{\top} - H^{\perp}), \\
 \operatorname{div}^{\perp}(H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}) &= \operatorname{div}((H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top})^{\perp}) + g(H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}, H^{\top} - H^{\perp}), \\
 \operatorname{div}^{\top}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}) &= \operatorname{div}((H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp})^{\top}) - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}, H^{\top} - H^{\perp}),
 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{div} \left((H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top} - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top})^{\perp} + (H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp} - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp})^{\top} \right) = 2(\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} - S_{\text{mix}}) \\ & - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp}) - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}) - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top} - H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp} + H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp} - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}, H^{\top} - H^{\perp}) \\ & - \sum_{a,i} \epsilon_a \epsilon_i \left[g((\mathcal{T}_i - \mathcal{T}_i^*)E_a + (\mathcal{T}_a - \mathcal{T}_a^*)\mathcal{E}_i, (A_a^{\perp} - T_a^{\perp\sharp})\mathcal{E}_i + (A_i^{\top} - T_i^{\top\sharp})E_a) \right. \\ & \left. - g(\mathcal{T}_a \mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{T}_i^* E_a) - g(\mathcal{T}_a^* \mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{T}_i E_a) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and hence, (9). \square

In the next theorem we generalize (8).

Theorem 3. *Let $(M, g, \bar{\nabla})$ be a closed metric-affine space and \mathcal{D}^{\top} a distribution defined on the complement to the “set of singularities” Σ , see Section 1. If $\|\xi\|_g \in L^2(M, g)$, where $\xi = H^{\perp} + H^{\top} + \frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top} - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top})^{\perp} + \frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp} - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp})^{\top}$, then the following integral formula holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \left\{ \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} - \langle T^{\top}, T^{\top} \rangle - \langle T^{\perp}, T^{\perp} \rangle + \langle h^{\top}, h^{\top} \rangle + \langle h^{\perp}, h^{\perp} \rangle - g(H^{\top}, H^{\top}) - g(H^{\perp}, H^{\perp}) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left[g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp}) + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}) + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top} - H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp} + H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp} - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}, H^{\top} - H^{\perp}) \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}^* + \widehat{\mathcal{T}} - \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^*, A^{\perp} - T^{\perp\sharp} + A^{\top} - T^{\top\sharp} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{T}^*, \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \rangle_{|V} \right\} d \operatorname{vol}_g = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (3) and Lemma 2, we have on $M \setminus \Sigma$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{div} \xi = \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} - \langle T^{\top}, T^{\top} \rangle - \langle T^{\perp}, T^{\perp} \rangle + \langle h^{\top}, h^{\top} \rangle + \langle h^{\perp}, h^{\perp} \rangle - g(H^{\top}, H^{\top}) - g(H^{\perp}, H^{\perp}) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left[g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp}) + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}) + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top} - H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp} + H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\perp} - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^{\top}, H^{\top} - H^{\perp}) \right] \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}^* + \widehat{\mathcal{T}} - \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^*, A^{\perp} - T^{\perp\sharp} + A^{\top} - T^{\top\sharp} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{T}^*, \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \rangle_{|V}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Thus, the claim follows from (11) and Lemma 1. \square

Corollary 4. *Let $(M, g, \bar{\nabla})$ be a closed statistical manifold and \mathcal{D}^{\top} a distribution defined on the complement to the “set of singularities” Σ . If $\|H^{\perp} + H^{\top}\|_g \in L^2(M, g)$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \left\{ \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} - \langle T^{\top}, T^{\top} \rangle - \langle T^{\perp}, T^{\perp} \rangle + \langle h^{\top}, h^{\top} \rangle + \langle h^{\perp}, h^{\perp} \rangle \right. \\ & \left. - g(H^{\top}, H^{\top}) - g(H^{\perp}, H^{\perp}) - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}, H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}) + (1/2) \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle_{|V} \right\} d \operatorname{vol}_g = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5. *Let $(M, g, \bar{\nabla})$ be a closed Riemann-Cartan manifold and \mathcal{D}^{\top} a distribution defined on the complement to the “set of singularities” Σ . If $\|\bar{H}^{\perp} + \bar{H}^{\top}\|_g \in L^2(M, g)$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \left\{ \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} - \langle T^{\top}, T^{\top} \rangle - \langle T^{\perp}, T^{\perp} \rangle + \langle h^{\top}, h^{\top} \rangle + \langle h^{\perp}, h^{\perp} \rangle \right. \\ & \left. - g(H^{\top}, H^{\top}) - g(H^{\perp}, H^{\perp}) + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}, H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}) - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top} - H_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}, H^{\top} - H^{\perp}) \right. \\ & \left. - \langle \mathcal{T} - \widehat{\mathcal{T}}, A^{\perp} - T^{\perp\sharp} + A^{\top} - T^{\top\sharp} \rangle - (1/2) \langle \mathcal{T}, \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \rangle_{|V} \right\} d \operatorname{vol}_g = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Definition. We say that (M', g') is a leaf of a distribution \mathcal{D} on (M, g) if M' is a submanifold of M with induced metric g' and $T_x M' = \mathcal{D}_x$ for any $x \in M'$. A leaf (M', g') of \mathcal{D} is said to be *umbilical*, *harmonic*, or *totally geodesic*, if $h^{\top} = \frac{1}{n} H^{\top} g^{\top}$, $H^{\top} = 0$, or $h^{\top} = 0$, resp., on M' .

The next theorem generalizes result in [16].

Theorem 6. *Let a distribution \mathcal{D}^\top on a metric-affine space $(M, g, \overline{\nabla})$ has a compact leaf (M', g') with condition*

$$2H^\top = (H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top - H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top)^\perp \quad (12)$$

on its neighborhood. Then the following integral formula along the leaf holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M'} \{ & \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} - \langle T^\perp, T^\perp \rangle + \langle h^\perp, h^\perp \rangle + \langle h^\top, h^\top \rangle + \frac{1}{2} [g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top, H^\perp) \\ & + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp, H^\top) - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp) - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top) + \langle \mathcal{T}^*, \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \rangle_{|V} \\ & - \langle \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}^* + \widehat{\mathcal{T}} - \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^*, A^\perp - T^{\perp\#} + A^\top \rangle] \} d \text{vol}_{g'} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Proof. Using $T^\top = 0$, (3), Lemma 2 and equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}^\perp(H^\perp) &= -g(H^\perp, H^\perp), \quad \text{div}^\top(H^\top) = -g(H^\top, H^\top), \\ \text{div}^\perp((H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp)^\top) &= -g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp, H^\perp), \\ \text{div}^\top((H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top)^\perp) &= -g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top, H^\top), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}^\top(H^\perp + \frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp)^\top) &+ \text{div}^\perp(H^\top + \frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top)^\perp) \\ &= \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} + \langle h^\perp, h^\perp \rangle + \langle h^\top, h^\top \rangle - \langle T^\perp, T^\perp \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} [g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top, H^\perp) + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp, H^\top) - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp) \\ &- g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top) + \langle \mathcal{T}^*, \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \rangle_{|V} - \langle \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}^* + \widehat{\mathcal{T}} - \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^*, A^\perp - T^{\perp\#} + A^\top \rangle]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

By conditions (12), the div^\perp -term in (14) vanishes along M' . Thus, (13) follows from the Divergence theorem for $\zeta = H^\perp + \frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp)^\top$ on M' . \square

Corollary 7. *Let a distribution \mathcal{D}^\top on a statistical manifold $(M, g, \overline{\nabla})$ admits a compact leaf (M', g') with $H^\top = 0$ on its neighborhood. Then the following integral formula holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M'} \{ & \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} - \langle T^\perp, T^\perp \rangle + \langle h^\perp, h^\perp \rangle + \langle h^\top, h^\top \rangle \\ & - g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top, H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp) + (1/2) \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle_{|V} \} d \text{vol}_{g'} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 8. *Let a distribution \mathcal{D}^\top on a Riemann-Cartan manifold $(M, g, \overline{\nabla})$ admits a compact leaf (M', g') with $\widehat{H}^\top = 0$ on its neighborhood. Then the following integral formula holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M'} \{ & \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} - \langle T^\perp, T^\perp \rangle + \langle h^\perp, h^\perp \rangle + \langle h^\top, h^\top \rangle + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top, H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp) + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp, H^\top) \\ & + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top, H^\perp) - \langle \mathcal{T} + \widehat{\mathcal{T}}, A^\perp - T^{\perp\#} + A^\top \rangle - (1/2) \langle \mathcal{T}, \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \rangle_{|V} \} d \text{vol}_{g'} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. For \mathcal{D}^\perp spanned by a unit vector field N , set $\overline{\text{Ric}}_{N,N} = \sum_a \epsilon_a g(\bar{R}_{N,E_a} N, E_a)$. Note that generally $g(\bar{R}_{N,E_a} N, E_a) \neq g(\bar{R}_{E_a,N} E_a, N)$. Let $\epsilon_N = 1$. Similarly to Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}((\mathcal{T}_N N)^\top - (H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top)^\perp) &= \overline{\text{Ric}}_{N,N} - \text{Ric}_{N,N} + Q, \\ Q &= g(H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top + \mathcal{T}_N N, H^\perp - (\text{Tr } A^\top) N) - \langle (\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_N^* + \mathcal{T}_N^*, A_N^\top + T_N^{\top\sharp}) \rangle_{|\mathcal{D}^\top} \\ &\quad + g((\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_N^* N + \mathcal{T}_N N, H^\perp) - g(H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top, \mathcal{T}_N N) + \langle \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_N, \mathcal{T}_N^* \rangle_{|\mathcal{D}^\top}. \end{aligned}$$

The above yield the integral formula, which for $\mathcal{T} = 0$ reduces to (1) with $\sigma_2 = \text{Tr}(A_N^\top)^2$:

$$\int_M (2\sigma_2 - \overline{\text{Ric}}_{N,N} - Q) \, d \text{vol}_g = 0.$$

2.2. Integral formula with the Ricci curvature $\overline{\text{Ric}}_{H^\top, H^\perp}$. The divergence of Z_1 for a Riemannian manifold endowed with orthogonal complementary distributions \mathcal{D}^\top and \mathcal{D}^\perp has been calculated in [9]:

$$\text{div}(A_{H^\top}^\top H^\perp + A_{H^\perp}^\perp H^\top) = \text{Ric}_{H^\top, H^\perp} + Q_1, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= g(H^\top, \nabla_{H^\perp} H^\top) + g(H^\perp, \nabla_{H^\top} H^\perp) \\ &\quad + g(\text{Tr}_g^\perp(\nabla \cdot T^\top)(\cdot, H^\perp), H^\top) + g(\text{Tr}_g^\top(\nabla \cdot T^\perp)(\cdot, H^\top), H^\perp) \\ &\quad + \langle A_{H^\top}^\top, \nabla \cdot H^\perp \rangle + \langle A_{H^\perp}^\perp, \nabla \cdot H^\top \rangle - g(A_{H^\perp}^\perp H^\top, H^\top) - g(A_{H^\top}^\top H^\perp, H^\perp) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_a \epsilon_a [g(A_{(\nabla_a H^\top)^\perp}^\top H^\perp, E_a) + g(\nabla_{T^\top(H^\perp, E_a)} E_a, H^\top)] \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_i \epsilon_i [g(A_{(\nabla_i H^\perp)^\top}^\perp H^\top, \mathcal{E}_i) + g(\nabla_{T^\perp(H^\top, \mathcal{E}_i)} \mathcal{E}_i, H^\perp)]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Thus, on a closed manifold (M, g) one has the integral formula, see [9, Theorem 1],

$$\int_M (\text{Ric}_{H^\top, H^\perp} + Q_1) \, d \text{vol}_g = 0. \quad (17)$$

If the distributions are umbilical, integrable and have constant mean curvature then (16) reads

$$Q_1 = -(1/n + 1/p) g(H^\top, H^\top) g(H^\perp, H^\perp).$$

Lemma 9. *For the metric-affine case we have*

$$\text{div}(\mathcal{T}_{H^\perp} H^\top + \mathcal{T}_{H^\top} H^\perp) = -(\overline{\text{Ric}}_{H^\top, H^\perp} - \text{Ric}_{H^\top, H^\perp}) + Q_2, \quad (18)$$

where $\overline{\text{Ric}}_{H^\top, H^\perp} = \text{Sym}(\sum_a \epsilon_a g(\bar{R}_{H^\perp, E_a} H^\top, E_a) + \sum_i \epsilon_i g(\bar{R}_{H^\top, \mathcal{E}_i} H^\perp, \mathcal{E}_i))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &= \text{Sym}\left(g(\bar{\nabla}_{H^\perp} H^\top, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top) + g(\bar{\nabla}_{H^\top} H^\perp, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp) - g(\mathcal{T}_{H^\perp} H^\top, H^\perp) - g(\mathcal{T}_{H^\top} H^\perp, H^\top)\right) \\ &\quad - \sum_a \epsilon_a g(\nabla_{H^\perp}(\mathcal{T}_a H^\top) - \mathcal{T}_{(h^\top + T^\top)(H^\perp, E_a) + \nabla_a H^\perp} H^\top + \mathcal{T}_{H^\perp}(\bar{\nabla}_a H^\top), E_a) \\ &\quad - \sum_i \epsilon_i g(\nabla_{H^\top}(\mathcal{T}_i H^\perp) - \mathcal{T}_{(h^\perp + T^\perp)(H^\top, \mathcal{E}_i) + \nabla_i H^\top} H^\perp + \mathcal{T}_{H^\top}(\bar{\nabla}_i H^\perp), \mathcal{E}_i). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Proof. Using (4), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_a \epsilon_a g(\bar{R}_{H^\perp, E_a} H^\top - R_{H^\perp, E_a} H^\top, E_a) = \\
 & = \text{Sym}(\text{div}^\top(\mathcal{T}_{H^\perp} H^\top) + g(\nabla_{H^\perp} H^\top, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top) + g(\mathcal{T}_{H^\perp} H^\top, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top)) \\
 & - \sum_a \epsilon_a [g(\nabla_{H^\perp}(\mathcal{T}_a H^\top), E_a) - g(\mathcal{T}_{(h^\top + T^\top)(H^\perp, E_a)} H^\top, E_a) \\
 & - g(\mathcal{T}_{\nabla_a H^\perp} H^\top, E_a) + g(\mathcal{T}_{H^\perp}(\nabla_a H^\top), E_a) + g(\mathcal{T}_{H^\perp}(\mathcal{T}_a H^\top), E_a)]. \quad (20)
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing (20) with similar formula for $\sum_i \epsilon_i g(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{E}_i, H^\top} H^\perp - R_{\mathcal{E}_i, H^\top} H^\perp, \mathcal{E}_i)$ and using equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{div}^\perp(\mathcal{T}_{H^\top} H^\perp) &= \text{div}(\mathcal{T}_{H^\top} H^\perp) - g(H^\top, \mathcal{T}_{H^\top} H^\perp), \\
 \text{div}^\top(\mathcal{T}_{H^\perp} H^\top) &= \text{div}(\mathcal{T}_{H^\perp} H^\top) - g(H^\perp, \mathcal{T}_{H^\perp} H^\top),
 \end{aligned}$$

yield (18)–(19). \square

Theorem 10. *Let $(M, g, \bar{\nabla})$ be a closed metric-affine space and \mathcal{D}^\top a distribution defined on the complement to the “set of singularities” Σ . If $\|\xi\|_g \in L^2(M, g)$, where $\xi = A_{H^\top}^\top H^\perp + A_{H^\perp}^\perp H^\top + \mathcal{T}_{H^\top} H^\perp + \mathcal{T}_{H^\perp} H^\top$, then*

$$\int_M \{ \bar{\text{Ric}}_{H^\top, H^\perp} + Q_1 + Q_2 \} d \text{vol}_g = 0. \quad (21)$$

Proof. From (15) and (18) we obtain

$$\text{div}(A_{H^\top}^\top H^\perp + A_{H^\perp}^\perp H^\top + \mathcal{T}_{H^\perp} H^\top + \mathcal{T}_{H^\top} H^\perp) = -\bar{\text{Ric}}_{H^\top, H^\perp} + Q_1 + Q_2.$$

Applying Lemma 1 to (16), (18) and (19), we obtain (21). \square

For $\mathcal{T} = 0$, we have $Q_2 = 0$, and (21) reduces to (17). One may get a number of formulas from (21). The next one generalizes Proposition 3 in [9].

Recall that \mathcal{D}^\top has constant mean curvature whenever its mean curvature vector H^\top obeys $\nabla^\perp H^\top = 0$, where ∇^\perp is the connection in \mathcal{D}^\perp induced by the Levi-Civita connection on M .

Corollary 11. *Let in conditions of Theorem 10, distributions \mathcal{D}^\top and \mathcal{D}^\perp be umbilical, integrable and have constant mean curvature. Then (21) reads*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_M \{ \bar{\text{Ric}}_{H^\top, H^\perp} - \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{p}\right) g(H^\top, H^\top) g(H^\perp, H^\perp) + \text{Sym}(g(\bar{\nabla}_{H^\top} H^\perp, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp)) \\
 & + g(\bar{\nabla}_{H^\perp} H^\top, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top) - g(H^\perp, \mathcal{T}_{H^\perp - H^\top} H^\top) - g(H^\top, \mathcal{T}_{H^\top - H^\perp} H^\perp) \\
 & - \sum_a \epsilon_a g(\bar{\nabla}_{H^\perp}(\mathcal{T}_a H^\top) - \mathcal{T}_{\nabla_a H^\perp} H^\top + \mathcal{T}_{H^\perp}(\nabla_a H^\top), E_a) \\
 & - \sum_i \epsilon_i g(\bar{\nabla}_{H^\top}(\mathcal{T}_i H^\perp) - \mathcal{T}_{\nabla_i H^\top} H^\perp + \mathcal{T}_{H^\top}(\nabla_i H^\perp), \mathcal{E}_i) \} d \text{vol}_g = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

3. SPLITTING RESULTS

In this section, we consider distributions $(\mathcal{D}^\top, \mathcal{D}^\perp)$ on a metric-affine manifold $(M, g, \bar{\nabla})$, satisfying some geometrical conditions, and prove non-existence and splitting results, which follow from integral formulas of Section 2. In the sequel, we assume that $g > 0$. We omit similar results for (co)dimension one distributions and foliations and consequences for harmonic and Riemannian submersions, which follow from results below.

We say that (M, g) endowed with a distribution \mathcal{D}^\top splits if M is locally isometric to a product with canonical foliations tangent to \mathcal{D}^\top and \mathcal{D}^\perp . Remark that if a simply connected manifold splits then it is the direct product.

The next conditions are introduced to simplify the presentation of results:

$$\mathcal{T}_X Y = 0 = \mathcal{T}_Y X, \quad \mathcal{T}_X^* Y = 0 = \mathcal{T}_Y^* X \quad (X \in \mathcal{D}^\top, Y \in \mathcal{D}^\perp), \quad (22)$$

$$g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top, H^\perp) = 0. \quad (23)$$

For example, (22) provides vanishing of last two lines in (11).

Recent extensions of (7) to non-compact case are discussed in [14]. Applying S.T.Yau version of Stokes' theorem on a complete open Riemannian manifold (M, g) yields the following.

Lemma 12 (see Proposition 1 in [5]). *Let (M, g) be a complete open Riemannian manifold endowed with a vector field ξ such that $\operatorname{div} \xi \geq 0$. If the norm $\|\xi\|_g \in L^1(M, g)$ then $\operatorname{div} \xi \equiv 0$.*

3.1. Harmonic distributions. Note that condition (12) on M when $\mathcal{T} = 0$ reduces to $H^\top = 0$, i.e., \mathcal{D}^\top is harmonic. Next theorem generalizes Theorem 5 in [14].

Theorem 13. *Let \mathcal{D}^\top and \mathcal{D}^\perp be complementary orthogonal integrable distributions with conditions (12), (22) and (23) on a complete open metric-affine space $(M, g, \overline{\nabla})$. Suppose that the leaves (M', g') of \mathcal{D}^\top obey condition $\|\xi|_{M'}\|_{g'} \in L^1(M', g')$, where $\xi = H^\perp + \frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp)^\top$. If $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} \geq 0$ then M splits.*

Proof. By conditions, we have

$$\operatorname{div}^\top \xi = \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} + \langle h^\perp, h^\perp \rangle + \langle h^\top, h^\top \rangle. \quad (24)$$

Applying Lemma 12 to each leaf (a complete open manifold), and since $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} \geq 0$, we get $\operatorname{div} \xi = 0$. Thus, $h^\top = 0 = h^\perp$. By de Rham theorem, (M, g) splits. \square

Note that condition $\|\xi|_{M'}\|_{g'} \in L^1(M', g')$ is satisfied on any compact leaf (M', g') of \mathcal{D}^\top .

Next two results generalize Theorem 2 and Corollary 4 in [16].

Corollary 14. *Let $(M, g, \overline{\nabla})$ be a metric-affine space endowed with a distribution \mathcal{D}^\top with integrable normal bundle and conditions (12), (22) and (23). Then \mathcal{D}^\top has no complete open leaves (M', g') with the properties $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}|M'} > 0$ and $\|\xi|_{M'}\|_{g'} \in L^1(M', g')$, where $\xi = H^\perp + \frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp)^\top$. In particular, there are no compact leaves with $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}|M'} > 0$.*

Proof. Let (M', g') be a complete open leaf obeying the conditions. By (14), we have (24) on M' . Applying Lemma 12 to the leaf, and since $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} > 0$, we get $\operatorname{div} \xi = 0$. The above yields $h^\top = 0 = h^\perp$ and $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} = 0$ – a contradiction. \square

Corollary 15. *A codimension one distribution \mathcal{D}^\top of a metric-affine space $(M, g, \overline{\nabla})$ with the Ricci curvature $\bar{\operatorname{Ric}} > 0$ and the properties (12), (22) and (23) has no compact leaves.*

Proof. For a codimension one \mathcal{D}^\top , we have $T^\top = 0$ and $\epsilon_N \bar{\operatorname{Ric}}_{N,N} = \bar{S}_{\text{mix}}$, where N is a unit normal to the leaves. Hence, the claim follows from Corollary 14. \square

Theorem 16. *Let $(M, g, \overline{\nabla})$ be a complete open (or closed) metric-affine space endowed with complementary orthogonal harmonic foliations. Suppose that conditions (22), $\|\xi\|_g \in L^1(M, g)$ with $\xi = \frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top)^\perp + \frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp)^\top$, and*

$$g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp) + g(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp, H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top) = 0$$

are satisfied. If $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} \geq 0$ then M splits.

Proof. Under conditions, from (11) we get

$$\operatorname{div} \xi = \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} + \langle h^\top, h^\top \rangle + \langle h^\perp, h^\perp \rangle.$$

By Lemma 12 and since $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} \geq 0$, we obtain $\operatorname{div} \xi = 0$. Thus, $h^\top = 0 = h^\perp$. Hence, by de Rham decomposition theorem, (M, g) splits. \square

3.2. Umbilical distributions.

Theorem 17. *Let $(M, g, \bar{\nabla})$ be a metric-affine space endowed with two complementary orthogonal distributions $(\mathcal{D}^\top, \mathcal{D}^\perp)$ with conditions (12), (22) and (23). Then \mathcal{D}^\top has no complete open umbilical leaves (M', g') with the properties $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}|M'} < 0$ and $\|\xi|_{M'}\|_{g'} \in L^1(M', g')$, where $\xi = H^\perp + \frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp)^\top$. In particular, there are no compact umbilical leaves (M', g') with $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}|M'} < 0$.*

Proof. Let (M', g') be a complete open umbilical leaf obeying the conditions. From (14) we get

$$\operatorname{div}^\top \xi = \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} - \langle T^\perp, T^\perp \rangle - \frac{p-1}{p} g(H^\perp, H^\perp) - \frac{n-1}{n} g(H^\top, H^\top) \quad (25)$$

on M' . Thus, applying Lemma 12 to the leaf, and since $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}|M'} < 0$, we get $\operatorname{div}^\top \xi = 0$. By the above, $H^\top = 0 = H^\perp$, $T^\perp = 0$ and $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} = 0$ – a contradiction. \square

Corollary 18. *A codimension one distribution \mathcal{D}^\top on a metric-affine space $(M, g, \bar{\nabla})$ with the Ricci curvature $\bar{\text{Ric}} < 0$ and conditions (12), (22) and (23) has no compact umbilical leaves.*

A submersion $f : (M, g) \rightarrow (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ is conformal if f_* restricted to $(\ker f_*)^\perp$ is conformal map, see [14].

Theorem 19 (For $\mathcal{T} = 0$, see Corollary 5 in [14]). *Let $(M, g, \bar{\nabla})$ be a complete open metric-affine space, $f : (M, g) \rightarrow (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ a conformal submersion with umbilical fibres and conditions (12), (22) and (23). If $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} \leq 0$ and $\|\xi|_{M'}\|_{g'} \in L^1(M', g')$, where $\xi = H^\perp + \frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp)^\top$, on any fibre (M', g') then $(\ker f_*)^\perp$ is integrable and M splits.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{D}^\top = \ker f$. Under conditions, we have (25). Applying Lemma 12 to every fibre (a complete open manifold), and since $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} \leq 0$, we get $\operatorname{div} \xi = 0$. The above yields vanishing of H^\top , H^\perp and T^\perp . By de Rham decomposition theorem, (M, g) splits. \square

Theorem 20 (For $\mathcal{T} = 0$, see Theorem 4 in [14]). *Let $(M, g, \bar{\nabla})$ be a complete open (or closed) metric-affine space endowed with complementary orthogonal umbilical distributions \mathcal{D}^\top and \mathcal{D}^\perp defined on the complement to the “set of singularities” Σ . If conditions (22),*

$$H_{\mathcal{T}}^\top = 0 = H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp, \quad H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\top = 0 = H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp \quad (26)$$

and $\|\xi\|_g \in L^1(M, g)$, where $\xi = H^\perp + H^\top$, are satisfied and $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} \leq 0$ then M splits.

Proof. Under conditions, from (11) we get

$$\operatorname{div} \xi = \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} - \langle T^\top, T^\top \rangle - \langle T^\perp, T^\perp \rangle - \frac{p-1}{p} g(H^\perp, H^\perp) - \frac{n-1}{n} g(H^\top, H^\top). \quad (27)$$

From (27) and Lemma 12 and since $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} \leq 0$, we get $\operatorname{div} \xi = 0$. The above yields vanishing of T^\top , T^\perp , H^\top , H^\perp . Hence, by de Rham decomposition theorem, (M, g) splits. \square

Umbilical integrable distributions appear on double-twisted products, see [10].

Definition. A *doubly-twisted product* $B \times_{(v,u)} F$ of two metric-affine spaces (B, g_B, \mathcal{T}_B) and (F, g_F, \mathcal{T}_F) is a manifold $M = B \times F$ with metric $g = g^\top + g^\perp$ and contorsion tensor $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^\top + \mathcal{T}^\perp$, where

$$\begin{aligned} g^\top(X, Y) &= v^2 g_B(X^\top, Y^\top), & g^\perp(X, Y) &= u^2 g_F(X^\perp, Y^\perp), \\ (\mathcal{T}^\top)_X Y &= u^2 (\mathcal{T}_B)_{X^\top} Y^\top, & (\mathcal{T}^\perp)_X Y &= v^2 (\mathcal{T}_F)_{X^\perp} Y^\perp, \end{aligned}$$

and the warping functions $u, v \in C^\infty(M)$ are positive. Indeed, $\mathcal{T}^* = \mathcal{T}^{*\top} + \mathcal{T}^{*\perp}$, where

$$(\mathcal{T}^{*\top})_X Y = u^2 (\mathcal{T}_B^*)_{X^\top} Y^\top, \quad (\mathcal{T}^{*\perp})_X Y = v^2 (\mathcal{T}_F^*)_{X^\perp} Y^\perp.$$

Let \mathcal{D}^\top be tangent to the *fibers* $\{x\} \times F$ and \mathcal{D}^\perp tangent to the *leaves* $B \times \{y\}$. The second fundamental forms and the mean curvature vectors of $B \times_{(v,u)} F$ are given by, see [10],

$$\begin{aligned} h^\perp &= -\nabla^\top(\log u) g^\perp, & h^\top &= -\nabla^\perp(\log v) g^\top, \\ H^\perp &= -n \nabla^\top(\log u), & H^\top &= -p \nabla^\perp(\log v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the leaves and the fibers of $B \times_{(v,u)} F$ are umbilical w.r.t. $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ . Conditions (22) are obviously satisfied for $B \times_{(v,u)} F$. Next corollaries extend results in [15].

Corollary 21 (of Theorem 17). *Let (12), (23) and $\|\tilde{\zeta}\|_{g'} \in L^1(M', g')$, where $\tilde{\zeta} = H^\perp + \frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{T}}^\perp - H_{\mathcal{T}^*}^\perp)^\top$, are satisfied along the fibres of $B \times_{(v,u)} F$, where (F, g_F) is complete open (or closed). If $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} \leq 0$ then M is the direct product.*

Proof. Under conditions, from (25) we get

$$\operatorname{div}^\top \tilde{\zeta} = \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} - \frac{p-1}{p} g(H^\perp, H^\perp) - \frac{n-1}{n} g(H^\top, H^\top).$$

Applying Lemma 12 to each fibre (a complete manifold), and since $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} \leq 0$, we get $\operatorname{div} \tilde{\zeta} = 0$. Hence, $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} = 0$ and $H^\top = 0 = H^\perp$, i.e., $\nabla^\top u = 0 = \nabla^\perp v$. By the above, $S_{\text{mix}} = 0$; thus, u and v are constant. By de Rham decomposition theorem, (M, g) splits with the factors $(B, c_1 \cdot g_B)$ and $(F, c_2 \cdot g_F)$ for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$. \square

Corollary 22 (of Theorem 20). *Let $M = B \times_{(v,u)} F$ be complete open (or closed) and conditions (23), (26) and $\|\tilde{\zeta}\|_g \in L^1(M, g)$, where $\tilde{\zeta} = H^\perp + H^\top$ are satisfied. If $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} \leq 0$ then M is the direct product.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{D}^\top = \pi_*(TF)$. Under conditions, we get, see (27),

$$\operatorname{div} \tilde{\zeta} = \bar{S}_{\text{mix}} - \frac{p-1}{p} g(H^\perp, H^\perp) - \frac{n-1}{n} g(H^\top, H^\top).$$

Applying Lemma 12 to M , and since $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} \leq 0$, we get $\operatorname{div} \tilde{\zeta} = 0$. Hence, $\bar{S}_{\text{mix}} = 0$ and $H^\top = 0 = H^\perp$, i.e., $\nabla^\top u = 0 = \nabla^\perp v$. By the above, $S_{\text{mix}} = 0$; thus, u and v are constant. By de Rham decomposition theorem, (M, g) splits with the factors $(B, c_1 \cdot g_B)$ and $(F, c_2 \cdot g_F)$ for some positive $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to thank Prof. Sergey Stepanov (Moscow) for useful conversations during the XIX Geometrical Seminar, Zlatibor, 2016, and Prof. Pawel Walczak (Lodz) for comments concerning this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Andrzejewski, V. Rovenski, P. Walczak, Integral formulas in foliations theory, 73–82, in “Geometry and its Applications”, Springer Proc. in Math. & Statistics, 72, Springer (2014).
- [2] K. Andrzejewski, P. Walczak, The Newton transformation and new integral formulae for foliated manifolds, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **37**:2 (2010), 103–111.
- [3] A. Bejancu, H. Farran, *Foliations and geometric structures*, Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [4] A.M. Blaga, M. Crasmareanu, Golden-statistical structures, Comptes rendus de l’Academie Bulgare des Sciences, **69**:9 (2016), 1113–1120.
- [5] A. Caminha, P. Souza, F. Camargo, Complete foliations of space forms by hypersurfaces, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series, **41**:3 (2010), 339–353.
- [6] M. Crasmareanu, C.-E. Hretcanu, Statistical structures on metric path spaces, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B, **33**:6 (2012), 889–902.
- [7] I. Gordeeva, V.I. Pan’zhenskii, S. Stepanov, Riemann-Cartan manifolds, J. of Math. Sci. (New-York), **169**:3 (2010), 342–361.
- [8] A.-M. Li, U. Simon, G. Zhao, *Global Affine Differential Geometry of Hypersurfaces*. Vol. 11, 2nd ed. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2015.
- [9] M. Luzynchyk, P. Walczak, New integral formulae for two complementary orthogonal distributions on Riemannian manifolds, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **48**:2 (2015), 195–209.
- [10] R. Ponge, H. Reckziegel, Twisted products in pseudo-Riemannian geometry, Geom. Dedicata, **48** (1993), 15–25.
- [11] G. Reeb, Sur la courbure moyenne des variétés intégrales d’une équation de Pfaff $\omega = 0$, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **231** (1950), 101–102.
- [12] V. Rovenski, Integral formulae for a Riemannian manifold with two orthogonal distributions, Central European J. Math., **9**:3 (2011), 558–577.
- [13] V. Rovenski, P. Walczak, *Topics in extrinsic geometry of codimension-one foliations*, Springer Briefs in Math., Springer, New-York, 2011.
- [14] S. Stepanov, Liouville-type theorems for some classes of complete Riemannian almost product manifolds and for special mappings of complete Riemannian manifolds, preprint, ArXiv:1607.06266.
- [15] S. Stepanov, Liouville-type theorems for twisted and warped products manifolds, preprint, ArXiv:1608.03590.
- [16] P. Walczak, An integral formula for a Riemannian manifold with two orthogonal complementary distributions, Colloq. Math. **58** (1990), 243–252.

MATHEMATICAL DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, MOUNT CARMEL, HAIFA, 31905, ISRAEL

E-mail address: vrovenski@univ.haifa.ac.il